MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products Quality Statement November 01, 2009

Authors:

section 1: MISR Level 2 Aerosol Product: Ralph Kahn, John Martonchik, David Diner, Mike Garay, Michael Bull
section 2: MISR Level 2 Land Surface Product: John Martonchik, Yuri Knyazikhin, Michael Bull

Quality Designations:

- **Stage 3 Validated:** AEROSOL - aerosol optical depth over heterogeneous surfaces and dark water. LAND - HDRF, DHR, BHR
- **Stage 2 Validated:** AEROSOL - aerosol Angstrom exponent, aerosol single-scattering albedo, AOD due to small, medium, large, spherical, non-spherical particles; LAND - NDVI, LAI and FPAR (excluding needleleaf forest), MRPV (BRFModParam), BHRPAR, DHRPAR

Please bear in mind that products designated as anything less than "Stage 2 validated" may change significantly between versions.

MISR maturity level definitions

The statements here apply to **MISR Level 2 Aerosol Products, Version F12_0022 or greater**, and to **Land Surface Products, Version F07_0022 or greater**, effective until further improvements to MISR software are made. See the Versioning Page for an in-depth explanation of the differences among MISR product versions. Quality statements covering earlier time periods are accessed through links at the bottom of this page.

The evaluation of product quality is ongoing. Please read the summary words of caution, if you have not done so already.

The MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface software which generated these products is believed to be functioning well, except as noted below. This statement highlights major known problems and issues with the products, as well as planned upgrades that are not yet implemented. A description of the MISR Standard Aerosol Retrieval algorithm itself is given by *Martonchik et al*, [2008] and references therein.

Aerosol | Land

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN FIRSTLOOK AND FINAL PROCESSING

The MISR processing stream is split up into two parts, "FIRSTLOOK" and "FINAL", to adjust for the new time dependence of the Atmospheric and Surface Climatology (TASC) and Radiometric Camera-by-Camea CloudMask Thresholds (RCCT) ancillary datasets. The TASC and RCCT datasets contain data that are unique to the time period for which the datasets are constructed. The TASC dataset contains snow-ice cover and ocean surface wind speed values that are updated on a monthly basis, and the RCCM Thresholds are derived from the observations for a given 3-month period. Therefore, these datasets cannot be generated until the end of the month or season. Rather than delaying all MISR Level 2 and Level 3 processing until these datasets are available, the Level 2 and Level 3 data are now produced twice. These two different runs are given the names "FIRSTLOOK" and "FINAL". The FIRSTLOOK processing uses the TASC from the same month in the previous year, and the RCCT comes from the same season in the previous year. When the updated datasets are available, the FINAL processing is run, using the RCCT and TASC data specific to the period of observation. The FIRSTLOOK products are distinguished by the presence of "FIRSTLOOK" in the filenames; the FINAL products use the original filenames.

On November 23, 2009, the QuikSCAT real-time data antenna failed, resulting in the end of the instrument's mission. Therefore, beginning with the November 2009 TASC, the source for wind speeds has been changed from QuikSCAT to the Special Sensor Microwave/Imager (SSM/I). This change affects the MISR L2AS aerosol retrievals over water only. Analysis of the differences in quality between MISR Aerosol products computed from a TASC dataset generated using QuikSCAT winds and one using SSM/I reveals differences of up to about +/- 0.02 in retrieved aerosol optical depth for very low optical depth (below about 0.3). There is a systematic negative bias of up to -0.005 for optical depths greater than about 0.6 when SSM/I is used for the wind speed compared with QuikSCAT. Ocean surface winds reported in the TASC dataset contain both u (zonal) and v (meridional) components. However, aerosol processing requires only the scalar speed, computed as sqrt(u^2+v^2). Unlike QuikSCAT, SSM/I provides only the scalar wind speed. The u and v components in the TASC data generated from SSM/I data are set to equal values to flag this condition.

1. MISR Level 2 Aerosol Product (a.k.a. AS_AEROSOL, MIL2ASAE)

[This product is generated by the MISR PGE9 executable code]

The MISR Aerosol Product is reported over 17.6 km regions, using data from up to 36 channels in a 16 x 16 array of 1.1 km radiance pixels.

Algorithm pre-processing executes a range of data-screening operations, and provided a minimum number of pixels pass all the tests, an aerosol retrieval is performed. Different retrieval approaches are used over land and water, as discussed in the references cited. Detailed validation of MISR-retrieved aerosol optical depth has been performed, and aerosol microphysical property validation is underway, as described below.

1.1 MISR Aerosol Product Maturity

Status	Parameter
Stage 3 Validated	RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepth, RegMeanSpectralOptDepth
Stage 2 Validated	Reg*AngstromExponent, Reg*SpectralSSA, Reg*SpectralOptDepthFraction

Product users should be aware that the aerosol models used in the retrieval analyses provide a practical means of deriving optical depth, and ***optical depth has been validated***, as described below. However, it is more difficult to obtain reliable ground truth data to compare with MISR total column aerosol type (particle microphysical property) retrievals; ***validation of retrieved particle microphysical properties is continuing***, using a combination of AERONET sun photometer and detailed field campaign data. As the MISR retrieval process matures, the thresholds used in the algorithm acceptance criteria will be further reduced, yielding more tightly constrained results.

The **Stereo Height** parameter in the MISR Level-2 Cloud Stereo product may be of interest to MISR aerosol product users as well. It gives stereo-derived heights of clouds and aerosol plumes to about 0.5 km accuracy, whenever elevated features are distinct in multiple MISR views [*Kahn et al.*, 2007a]. For aerosols, this occurs most frequently in aerosol source regions, where the spatial coverage provided by MISR stereo imaging complements the greater sensitivity to thin aerosol layers but much more limited spatial coverage of CALIPSO lidar [*Kahn et al.*, 2008].

Regarding algorithm performance in specific cases, some useful diagnostics included in the MISR L2AS Aerosol are listed below. For more information, refer to the MISR Data Product Specifications Document, Rev. Q, May 28, 2008 (PDF), and the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval Algorithm Theoretical Basis document. (PDF)

Aerosol algorithm performance diagnostics

Parameter name	Grid name (resolution)	Description
RegClassInd	RegParamsAer (17.6 km)	Indicates when a location is eliminated due to solar geometry (1), topographic complexity (2), or no applicable 1.1 km pixels (4). A value of clear (0) means the location was not eliminated for one of the aforementioned reasons; but could still be eliminated for other reasons as indicated by RetrAppMask. Where RegClassInd is (0) or (4), RetrAppMask must be inspected to determine why a retrieval is not attempted. The value "cloudy_region" (3) is not used in RegClassInd.
RetrAppMask	SubregParamsAer (1.1 km)	Indicates reasons why individual 1.1 km pixels are eliminated from input to the aerosol retrieval algorithms. This is based on a cascade of tests (glitter-contaminated, topographically complex, cloudy, etc.); the first test to fail (if any) in the standard sequence is reported in this mask. A certain number of 1.1 km pixels within a 17.6 km retrieval region must pass all the tests for the algorithm to perform an aerosol retrieval on that retrieval region.
AlgTypeFlag	RegParamsAer (17.6 km)	Reports whether the dark water algorithm (1) or heterogeneous land algorithm (3) was used for the aerosol retrieval.
AerRetrSuccFlag	RegParamsAer (17.6 km)	Reports whether aerosol retrieval is successful or not.
NumSuccAerMixture	RegParamsAer (17.6 km)	Number of successful mixtures.

NumCamUsed	RegParamsAlgDiagnostics (17.6 km)	Number of cameras used in the aerosol retrieval.
NumEofUsed	RegParamsAlgDiagnostics (17.6 km)	Number of empirical orthogonal functions (EOFs) used by the heterogeneous land algorithm. Not applicable to dark water algorithm.
ChisqAbs, ChisqGeom, ChisqSpec, ChisqMaxdev, ChisqHet, ChisqHomog	RegParamsPerMixture (17.6 km)	Results of chi-squared tests for each mixture.

1.2. Aerosol Optical Depth

Available at Stage 3 Validated Quality Level

The best estimate of aerosol optical depth (RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepth) and the average over the optical depths of all successful aerosol models (RegMeanSpectralOptDepth) are Stage 3 Validated maturity level for Version 22. This applies to aerosol optical depth over ***both water and land***, which are produced using different retrieval approaches [*Martonchik et al*, 2008, and references therein].

[Note: For Aerosol algorithm V3.2 (aerosol product 0016) and earlier, RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepth has been the same as RegMeanSpectralOptDepth. In V3.3 (aerosol product 0017) and higher, if RegMeanSpectralOptDepth is missing, RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepth will be filled in with the average of successful RegMeanSpectralOptDepth in a 3x3 patch of 17.6 regions, and the standard deviation of this quantity will be reported as the RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepth uncertainty. These cases are identified by a value of 2 in RegBestEstimateQA.]

A global comparison of retrieved aerosol optical depths for coincident MISR and AERONET data was performed for the time period December 2000 through November 2002 [*Kahn et al.*, 2005a], The comparison shows that overall, 63% of the MISR-retrieved aerosol optical depth (AOD) values in the green band fall within 0.05 or 20% * AOD of AERONET, and about 40% are within 0.03 or 10% * AOD. As expected, correlation coefficients are highest for maritime cases (~0.9), and lowest for bright desert sites (still greater than ~0.7). This PDF document of tables shows uncertainties as a function of wavelength, and binned by season and expected aerosol air mass type, as described in *Kahn et al.* [2005a].

Additional MISR optical depth validation, yielding similar results, has been performed over bright deserts [*Martonchik et al.*, 2004; *Christopher and Wang*, 2004], over the continental United States [*Liu et al.*, 2004; 2007], over coastal water [*Redemann et al.*, 2005; *Schmid et al.*, 2003; *Reidmiller et al.*, 2006], over biomass burning sites [*Chen et al.*, 2008], over north India aerosol pollution [*DiGirolamo et al.*, 2004], and using sun photometer data to evaluate MISR and MODIS results over land and water [*Abdou et al.*, 2005]. The impact on MISR and MODIS retrieved AOT and aerosol properties of algorithm surface boundary condition and particle property assumptions, calibration, sampling, and other factors over dark water is given in *Kahn et al.* [2007b].

1.3. Aerosol Micro-physical Property Product Quality

1. Based on the combination of detailed field campaign and other case studies, and initial statistical comparisons with AERONET data, we have a qualitative sense of the particle property information content of the MISR Standard Product, versions 18-22.

2. The formal statistical assessment of the V22 aerosol product is underway, and preliminary results are included in the current quality statement. The paper containing the results of this study (*Kahn, Gaitley, et al.*, JGR, in preparation) is likely to be completed within a few months of this release, so an update to the quality statement might be expected at that time.

3. Validating MISR column-effective particle property retrievals is much more difficult than validating column aerosol optical depth (AOD), because, except for sampling differences, the global AERONET sun photometer data can be used as ground truth for the satellite AOD retrievals. For particle properties, the sun photometer results suffer from additional uncertainties common to other remote sensing aerosol property retrievals. As such, statistical validation of MISR-retrieved particle properties entails more circumspect interpretation of the validation data set itself. Verification, to the extent possible, is obtained from coincident in situ data taken during field campaigns such as ACE-Asia (*Kahn et al.*, 2004), CLAMS (*Reidmiller et al.*, 2006), INTEX-A (*Russell et al.*, 2007), and SAMUM (*Kahn et al.*, 2009), and from retrieval sensitivity analyses focused on specific particle types, such as non-spherical dust (*Kalashnikova and Kahn*, 2006) and spherical, absorbing and non-absorbing (biomass burning) particles (*Chen et al.*, 2008).

4. The following was predicted in pre-launch sensitivity studies (*Kahn et al.*, 1997; 1998; 2001a), and verified, to the extent possible, in case studies using a combination of the MISR Standard and Research aerosol retrieval algorithms (see references cited in (3) above):

4.1. Under good, but not necessarily ideal, observing conditions, the MISR data contain the information needed to distinguish about three-to-five size groupings, two-to-four groupings in single-scattering albedo (SSA), and at least two groupings in particle shape (spherical vs. non-spherical).

4.2. Information about particle properties in the MISR data diminishes significantly when the total column mid-visible AOD is below about 0.15

or 0.2.

4.3. Particle property retrievals are most reliable over dark water. Retrieval sensitivity degrades over brighter surfaces, and as scene variability, on scales of about 1 to 20 km, increases.

4.4. For MISR retrievals to distinguish the properties of individual component particles within the atmospheric column, they must comprise at least about 20% of the total column AOD.

5. The MISR Standard algorithm must strike a compromise among retrieval accuracy, coverage, and processing efficiency, as it must be run for the entire global data stream. As such, the Standard Product results can approach, but do not always achieve, the performance demonstrated in case study validation (see (4) above). Preliminary statistical results, based on comparisons between MISR V22 and coincident AERONET particle property retrievals (*Kahn, Gaitley, et al.*, JGR, in preparation), as well as case studies, indicate that:

5.1. The spherical vs. non-spherical particle distinction is the most robust property retrieval result in the V22 product. This conclusion does not hold for coarse-mode dust (which can be especially important near dust sources), due to the lack of a satisfactory optical model for such particles (*Kalashnikova and Kahn*, 2006). Cirrus contamination of the non-spherical dust signal is also possible, but validation data is as yet unavailable for this situation.

5.2. Particle size and Angstrom Exponent (ANG) retrievals show mixed results in the V22 product. Situations where the aerosol load is dominated by small or large particles are generally identified in the MISR retrieval results, though quantitative agreement is not reliably achieved. This is in part due to the lack of wavelengths longer than 867 nm, which precludes sensitivity to the micro-physical properties of particles larger than about 2.5 microns in diameter. Systematic agreement between the MISR-product and the validation size parameters seems to depend not only on total-column AOD and surface type, but also on the diversity of aerosol component properties in the column, and, not surprisingly, on the range of scattering angles available. This is especially true over dark water, where sun glint can eliminate several view angles, and only two spectral channels of data are used, to minimize surface contributions to the measured radiances. In the V22 algorithm, the available range of mixtures containing components of different sizes imposes additional limitations on retrieved size parameter accuracy in situations where the information content of the radiance data is high.

5.3. MISR SSA retrievals cannot be considered quantitative. This is due in part to limitations in the range of components and mixtures available in the V22 algorithm, and in part to limited information content in the MISR data itself (*Chen et al.*, 2008; *Kahn et al.*, 1998). However, qualitative SSA distinctions can be made, and preliminary results often show expected correspondences between absorbing particles, such as smoke, and retrievals that include lower-SSA particles, when minimum AOD and surface quality conditions are met (see (4) above).

5.4. In general, AOD retrieval accuracy is maintained even when particle property information is poor, as might be expected from the multi-angle retrievals (*Kahn et al.*, 2005a; 1998).

6. Significant improvements to the MISR Standard particle property retrieval results can be expected in future versions of the product, as upgrades to the algorithm are identified and implemented.

7. Given the limitations in MISR aerosol property product information content, and variable sensitivity depending on conditions, aerosol air mass type, a classification based on the aggregate of retrieved particles properties, appears likely to provide a more robust result than the retrieved quantities individually (*Kahn et al.*, 2001a; 2009). Exploratory work aimed at applying this concept to the MISR product is currently underway.

1.4. Dependence on Aerosol Climatology Product (ACP)

The quality of the aerosol product depends upon the quality of the Aerosol Climatology Product (ACP). The ACP contains assumed component aerosol particle properties, and mixtures of these components. For each 17.6 km region, the retrieval algorithm selects *all* mixtures in the ACP that produce spectral-angular radiances in a forward radiative transfer model that meet a set of chi-squared criteria [*Martonchik et al.*, 1998; *Kahn et al.*, 1998; *Diner et al.*, 2001].

The number of mixtures that pass all the chi-squared test criteria is reported in the Aerosol Product as "NumSuccAerMixture". The "AerRetrSuccFlag" also reports whether any mixtures met the success criteria (a value of "7"), as well as other possible algorithm conditions (See: MISR Data Product Specifications Document, Rev. Q, May 28, 2008, (PDF) p. 164-165).

[If you need to know the actual chi-squared thresholds and other parameters used for a given run of the algorithm, these are reported as configuration parameters in the "Annotation text", which is stored in the Aerosol Product hdf files. For example, there is a sub-section in this text called "(2) Parameters that apply to the dark water aerosol retrievals," in which the threshold choices for the chi-squared absolute, geom, spec, and maxdev tests are reported. A description of the dark water tests themselves is given in *Kahn et al.*, (1998; 2001b). The corresponding heterogeneous land aerosol retrieval chi-squared threshold values are given in "(4) Parameters that apply to heterogeneous aerosol retrievals," and are documented in *Martonchik et al.*, (1998). See also the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval ATBD (PDF)].

The ACP was updated in product **Version 0016**, with a new aerosol component dataset and a new mixture dataset. The changes involve ***adding spherical pollution and biomass burning particle analogs having lower single-scattering albedo*** than available in the previous version [*Kahn et al.*, 2005a; *Chen et al.*, 2008], ***more realistic mineral dust*** analogs [*Kalashnikova et al.*, 2005], and a ***richer set of bi-modal and tri-modal mixtures***. Refer to the ACP quality statement for further information. Further refinement of the ACP may be expected, based on continuing analysis of field campaign and coincident surface network measurements.

1.5 Dependence on Terrestrial Atmosphere and Surface Climatology (TASC)

The MISR TASC (Terrestrial Atmosphere and Surface Climatology) dataset provides monthly, global climatological information on conditions of the area being observed by the MISR instrument, used during the aerosol retrieval process. Included are ***surface pressure*** for evaluating top-of-atmosphere Rayleigh scattering radiance contributions, climatological ***ozone and water vapor*** for minor spectral band corrections, and ***near-surface wind speed*** to estimate ocean surface white cap area for the lower boundary condition over dark water. [See also the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval ATBD (PDF) and Ancillary Products and Datasets ATBD (PDF)].

Sensitivity studies indicate that in most circumstances, retrieval uncertainties introduced by using monthly 1x1 degree climatological values of these quantities are smaller and often more difficult to constrain than other uncertainties, such as retrieval climatology component particle definitions, that are receiving higher priority in the current MISR validation effort. [*Kahn et al.*, 2001a;b; 2007b]

1.6 Cloud Screening

Cloud screening is performed prior to the aerosol retrieval on a 1.1 km x 1.1 km pixel basis. The MISR Standard Products include three separate MISR-derived cloud Masks:

- RCCM - Radiometric Camera-by-camera Cloud Mask in the "Level 1B" product [*Zhao and Di Girolamo*, 2004; *Yang et al.*, 2007].
- SDCM - Stereo-Derived Cloud Mask in the "Level 2 TC-STEREO" product [*Moroney et al.*, 2002].
- ASCM - Angular-Signature Cloud Mask in the "Level 2 TC-CLASSIFIERS" product [*Di Girolamo and Wilson*, 2003].

These three cloud mask products are used in the aerosol pre-processing according to the logic described by the "cloud_mask_decision_matrix" listed in the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval ATBD (PDF), section 3.3.8.2.6. Additional cloud screening is performed by radiance angular smoothness and spatial correlation tests that are internal to the aerosol retrieval algorithm. These tests are described by Martonchik et al., [2002].

Each of the 256 1.1 km subregions within the 17.6 km aerosol retrieval region is screened independently for potential cloud contamination using the cloud masking logic, followed by the smoothness and spatial correlation tests in the pre-processing stage. These tests are performed as a cascade and a pixel is examined until it fails one of the tests. Only the first failure is reported explicitly in the RetrAppMask parameter in the L2 AS_AEROSOL product. [See MISR Data Product Specifications Document, Rev. Q, May 28, 2008, (PDF), page 161].

The RCCM, SDCM, and ASCM are being evaluated by the MISR cloud masking team at the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign. The logic used to apply these cloud masks within the MISR aerosol retrieval pre-processing has not been formally evaluated. However, extensive tests have been conducted on various MISR scenes to assess the performance of the cloud masking logic. Because of the complexity of the MISR aerosol retrieval algorithm, a decision was made to attempt the retrieval on the maximum number of regions where it might be successful. This provides aerosol retrievals in conditions of relatively thick smoke and dust plumes, which are important research objectives for aerosol scientists. However, this means that the MISR aerosol product is likely to be contaminated by the presence of some types of clouds under certain circumstances.

The influence of sub-pixel clouds has been considered in a number of studies [e.g., *Zhao and Di Girolamo*, 2004, 2006; *Yang et al.*, 2007]. Optically thin, uniform cirrus is likely to impact the MISR aerosol retrieval because it is not reliably screened in the current cloud-masking logic. Work is underway to assess the impact of thin cirrus and potentially retrieve the presence of thin cirrus as an aerosol component.

Users of the MISR aerosol product who are concerned about cloud contamination are advised to use the RCCM, SDCM, and ASCM cloud mask provided in separate products at 1.1 km (subregion) resolution to perform additional cloud screening. A more restrictive logic than the one currently used in the operational pre-processing may provide higher confidence aerosol retrievals at the expense of geographic coverage. See the Cloud Products Quality Statement for more information regarding the RCCM, SDCM, and ASCM cloud masks.

1.7. Aerosol Optical Depth Retrieval Notes and Issues

1.7.1. Known Retrieval Blunders over Land

Retrieval blunders sporadically occur for ***terrain types having low spatial contrast, most notably bright deserts and snow/ice*** fields.

They are manifested as anomalously large values of optical depth (>2) that appear to be randomly scattered throughout an area. Increased numbers of blunders occur over snow/ice fields as a consequence of inadequate cloud screening. Blunder elimination is a high-priority ongoing task, and a spectral contrast angular-signature cloud mask (ASCM; *DiGirolamo and Wilson*, 2003) is being implemented to help reduce these errors.

1.7.2 Optical Depth Uncertainties Over Land

Estimates of aerosol ***optical depth uncertainty over land*** have been improved by applying more stringent constraints on the heterogeneous land aerosol retrieval algorithm. Previous uncertainty estimates were unduly large due to lack of use of spectral information.

1.7.3 Optical Depth Uncertainties Over Water

As a result of refined MISR low-light-level radiometric calibration, the ***uncertainty in MISR-retrieved aerosol optical depth over dark water has been reduced to about 0.025 at mid-visible wavelengths***, based on preliminary comparisons with near-coincident AERONET sun photometer measurements [*Kahn et al.*, 2005b; *Diner et al.*, 2004; *Kahn et al.*, 2007b]. This represents a 40% improvement for the Version 16 of the aerosol product relative to earlier versions.

1.7.4 Algorithm Updates

The aerosol retrieval algorithms described in the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval ATBD, Revision E, April 2001 (PDF) have been modified and improved, based on initial analyses of the data. The next release of this document will include an updated description of these algorithms.

1.7.5 Spectral-angular "Shape Mask" Over Land

Beginning with **Version 0012** of the aerosol and surface products, an algorithm refinement that uses a ***spectral similarity condition in the angular shape of surface HDRF*** has been implemented, based on the idea that for natural surfaces, the angular shape should be fairly similar across the MISR wavelengths [*Diner et al.*, 2005]. This upgrade has resulted in three tangible benefits: (1) far fewer optical depth outliers occur over land, (2) correlations with AERONET aerosol sun photometer data are quantitatively improved, and (3) the quality of surface products is markedly enhanced.

1.7.6 Technical Note about Uncertainties

The following MISR aerosol fields labeled as uncertainties:

- RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepthUnc
- RegBestEstimateAngstromExponentUnc

are calculated as the standard deviations of the values retrieved for all aerosol mixtures that pass the acceptance criteria. So these represent the uncertainty associated with the retrieval algorithm sensitivity to differences among the components and mixtures in the algorithms aerosol climatology. Calibration uncertainty figures into the calculation of the chi-squared statistics used in the acceptance tests. See also the MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval ATBD (PDF)].

The following uncertainties are no longer calculated, and should not be used:

- RegBestEstimateSpectralSSAUnc
- RegBestEstimateSpectralOptDepthFractionUnc
- RegBestEstimateNumberFractionUnc
- RegBestEstimateVolumeFractionUnc

2. MISR Level 2 Land Surface Product (a.k.a. AS_LAND, MIL2ASLS)

[This product is generated by the MISR PGE9 executable code]

2.1 MISR Land Surface Product Maturity

Status	Parameter
Stage 3 Validated	LandHDRF, LandHDRFUnc, LandBHR, LandBHRRelUnc, LandBRF, LandDHR,
Stage 2 Validated	BRFModParam1,

	BRFModParam2, BRFModParam3, BRFModFitResid, NDVI, LAI (excluding needleleaf forest biome type), FPAR (excluding needleleaf forest biome type), BHRPAR, DHRPAR,
Not implemented	BiomeBestEstimateQA

2.2 Aerosol Dependency

The land surface product relies on the aerosol product for atmospheric correction information. Therefore, the quality of the land surface product depends upon the quality of the aerosol product. The atmospheric correction information used in the land surface retrievals is reported in RegSfcRetrOptDepth and related fields in the aerosol and land surface products.

2.3 Reliability of Land Surface Reflectance Values Dependent Upon Aerosol Optical Depth Magnitude

At the current time land surface retrievals, particularly those with low surface albedo, should be considered most reliable when the aerosol optical depths are small (< 0.2). Accordingly, the land surface parameter "LandQA" is set to "bad" (1) where the optical depth is greater than 0.3. For higher albedo areas, such as deserts, good results are obtained for optical depths < 0.4. Other parameters which indicate the quality of the surface retrieval include LandBHRRelUnc (ratio of BHR [Bi-Hemispherical Reflectance] uncertainty to BHR value) and LandHDRFUnc (HDRF [Hemispherical-directional Reflectance Factor] uncertainty), which are derived from the uncertainty in the retrieved aerosol optical depth. It can be assumed that these uncertainty products also apply to the DHR [Directional Hemispherical Reflectance] and BRF [Bidirectional Reflectance Factor] surface products, respectively. Inspection and analysis of these products, for both dark and bright areas, indicates that they adequately represent the uncertainty associated with their respective products, and therefore are good indicators of product quality. Some sporadic but obvious retrieval blunders do occur, however, for areas that are bright and have little contrast (e.g., deserts and snow/ice fields) and these are easily seen in the images as anomalously bright reflectances. Further refinements in the quality of the aerosol retrievals over land are planned for future releases and these are expected to result in improvements in the surface retrieval blunder rate and product quality at larger optical depths.

2.4 Quilting Effect in Land Surface Reflectances

Most of the retrieved land surface reflectances are reported at a 1.1 km x 1.1 km spacing, whereas the retrieved aerosol optical depths are computed at a coarser 17.6 km x 17.6 km spacing. It is assumed that aerosol amount is constant over any particular 17.6 km region, which results in values of aerosol optical depth that are inherently discontinuous going from one region to an adjacent one. Therefore, the atmospheric correction process, using the coarse resolution aerosol data with the fine resolution reflectance data, occasionally produces a distinctive "quilting" effect in the directional surface reflectance imagery, i.e., a discernable block pattern. Imagery from the extreme off-nadir cameras at 446 nm (blue band) is particularly prone to this effect. The aerosol optical depth discontinuities are due to both real variation in aerosol amount on spatial scales smaller than the 17.6 km spacing and to intrinsic uncertainties associated with the aerosol retrieval process. Because of improvements to the land aerosol retrieval algorithm, the resulting inter-regional optical depth variability, much of which was an artifact of the retrieval process, has now been significantly reduced, thus mitigating, to a large extent, the "quilting" effect. The magnitude of any remaining "quilting" effect is well described by the surface reflectance uncertainty parameters, mentioned in the previous section.

2.5 Fill Values in Land Surface Reflectances

Land surface reflectances are computed separately for each MISR spectral band. In some cases, the land retrievals succeed in one MISR band, but not another. This can cause visualization problems when viewing a composite image of land surface reflectances which contains spectral bands for both successful and unsuccessful retrievals. This occasional algorithm failure in certain bands (notably blue and/or red) is a high priority item for investigation and repair.

2.6 Correction to Modified RPV Model BRF Parameters (R0, K, B)

Version 0016 and earlier of the modified RPV (MRPV) model BRF parameters (r0, k and b; a.k.a. BRFModParam1, BRFModParam2, and BRFModParam3) are affected by a software error, which results in incorrect output for those parameters. The error is present at all latitudes, but is most severe (and visually obvious) at geographical regions where MISR is viewing nearly perpendicular to the principal plane (which is within a relatively narrow belt about the equator and about 3-5 blocks wide, shifting latitude-wise with season). This error is corrected in version 0017 and later products.

2.7 Reliability of LAI/FPAR Dependent Upon Land Surface Reflectances

The software which computes leaf-area index (LAI) and fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (FPAR) uses Land Surface Reflectances (BHR and BRF) as input. Two spectral bands, red and near-infrared, and 7 view directions are currently used to produce LAI and FPAR.

The quality and spatial coverage of LAI and FPAR depend on the quality and coverage of the Land Surface Reflectances (BHR and BRF). Surface reflectances whose uncertainties exceed an acceptable level of 20% result in algorithm failure. The data analysis indicates that uncertainties in the MISR BHR of dense vegetations at red and blue spectral bands can substantially exceed the acceptable level. At these wavelengths, dense vegetations exhibit low reflectances. As indicated in section 2.3, reliability of land surface retrievals can be low in this case. High uncertainties in BHR retrievals over dark surfaces, therefore, can result in algorithm failure, reducing the number of successful retrievals. With a probability of about 70%, uncertainties in retrieved LAIs do not exceed uncertainties in the MISR Surface Reflectances (BHR and BRF). Inspection and analysis of the LAI/FPAR product indicate that the successfully retrieved LAI/FPAR values follow regularities expected from physics.

Considerable attention was also paid to characterizing the quality of the LAI/FPAR parameters. The quality of LAI/FPAR retrievals can be assessed through examining LAINumGoodFit1 and LAINumGoodFit2 accompanying the product; that is, LAINumGoodFit1*LAINumGoodFit2>0 indicates highest retrieval quality; LAINumGoodFit1>0 and LAINumGoodFit2=0 - intermediate quality. The operational version of the algorithm does not archive low quality retrievals (LAINumGoodFit1=0 and LAINumGoodFit2>0). For more details on the performance of the provisional LAI/FPAR algorithm as well as how to interpret LAIMean1 and LAIMean2 as a function of biome type, the users is referred to *Hu et al.*, (2003)

2.8 Improved LAI for Grasses and Broadleaf Crops

Validation of version 16 and earlier of the MISR LAI product suggests the algorithm substantially overestimated LAI values in grasses and broadleaf crops. A recalibrated algorithm has been incorporated into version 17 and later. Validation of version 17 suggests the MISR LAI product to correctly accommodate structural and phenological variability. The version 17 product is accurate to within 0.5 LAI in herbaceous vegetation and savannas and is an overestimate by about 1 LAI in broadleaf forests.

2.9 BHRPAR and DHRPAR Availability at Provisional Quality Level

The BHRPAR and DHRPAR fields are now of "Provisional" quality, as explained in this PDF document.

2.10 Some Land Surface Fields Not Available

The following fields in the aerosol product are not currently computed, and contain fill only: BiomeBestEstimateQA.

2.11 LAI/FPAR Availability at Stage 2 Validated Quality Level

The LAI/FPAR [Leaf Area Index / Fractional absorbed Photosynthetically Active Radiation] fields for version 22 are of "Stage 2 Validated" quality for all biome types except needleleaf forest (which remain at "Provisional" quality level).

References

- Abdou, W.A., D.J. Diner, J.V. Martonchik, C.J. Bruegge, R.A. Kahn, B.J. Gaitley, K.A. Crean, L.A. Remer, and B. Holben, 2005, Comparison of coincident MISR and MODIS aerosol optical depths over land and ocean scenes containing AERONET sites, *J. Gelphys. Res.*, doi:10.1029/2004JD004693.

- Chen, W-T, R. Kahn, D. Nelson, K. Yau, and J. Seinfeld, 2008, Sensitivity of multi-angle imaging to optical and microphysical properties of biomass burning aerosols, *J. Geophys. Res.* 113, D10203, doi:10.1029/2007JD009414.

- Christopher, S.. and J. Wang, 2004, Intercompason between multi-angle imaging spectroradiometer (MISR) and sunphotometer aerosol optical thickness in dust source regions of China, implications for satellite aerosol retrievals and radiative forcing calculations, *Tellus 56B*, 451-456.

- Di Girolamo, L., and M.J. Wilson, 2003. A first look at band-differenced angular signatures for cloud detection from MISR. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* **41**, 1730-1734.

- DiGirolamo, L., T.C. Bond. D. Bramer. D.J. Diner, F. Fettinger, R.A. Kahn, J.V. Martonchik, M.V. Ramana, V. Ramanathan, and P.J. Rasch, 2004, Analysis of Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) aerosol optical depths over greater India during winter 2001-2004, Geophys. Res. Let., 31, L23115, doi:10.1029/2004GL021273.

- Diner, D.J., J.V. Martonchik, R.A. Kahn, B. Pinty, N. Gobron, D.L. Nelson, and B.N. Holben, 2005. Using angular and spectral shape similarity constraints to improve MISR aerosol and surface retrievals over land. *Rem. Sens. Environ.* 94, 155-171.

- Diner, D.J., R.A. Kahn, C.J. Bruegge, J.V. Martonchik, W.A. Abdou, B.J. Gaitley, M.C. Helmlinger, O.V. Kalashnikova, and W-H. Li (2004). Refinements to MISR's radiometric calibration and implications for establishing a climate-quality aerosol observing system. *Proc. SPIE* 5652, 57-65.

- Diner, D.J., W. Abdou, T. Ackerman, K. Crean, H. Gordon, R. Kahn, J. Martonchik, S. McMuldroch, S. Paradise, B. Pinty, M. Verstrete, M. Wang, and R. West, MISR Level 2 Aerosol Retrieval Algorithm Theoretical Basis, JPL- D11400, Rev. E, 2001.

- Hu et al.,Performance of the MISR LAI and FPAR Algorithm: A Case Study in Africa. *Remote Sens. Environ*, Vol. 88, Issue 3, 15 December 2003, pp. 324-340 (PDF).

- Kahn, R., W-H. Li, J. Martonchik, C. Bruegge, D. Diner, B. Gaitley, W.Abdou, O. Dubovik, B. Holben, S. Smirnov, Z. Jin, and D. Clark, 2005b. MISR low-light-level calibration, and implications for aerosol retrieval over dark water, *J. Atmosph, Sci.* 62, 1032-1062.

- Kahn, R., B. Gaitley, J. Martonchik, D. Diner, K. Crean, and B. Holben, 2005a, MISR global aerosol optical depth validation based on two years of coincident AERONET observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:10:1029/2004JD004706

- Kahn, R., J. Anderson, T.L. Anderson, T. Bates, F. Brechtel, C.M. Carrico, A. Clarke, S.J. Doherty, E. Dutton, R. Flagan, R. Frouin, H. Fukushima, B. Holben, S. Howell, B. Huebert, A. Jefferson, H. Jonsson, O. Kalashnikova, J. Kim, S-W. Kim, P. Kus, W-H. Li, J.M. Livingston, C. McNaughton, J. Merrill, S. Mukai, T. Murayama, T. Nakajima, P. Quinn, J. Redemann, M. Rood, P. Russell, I. Sano, B. Schmid, J. Seinfeld, N. Sugimoto, J. Wang, E.J. Welton, J-G. Won, S-C. Yoon, 2004. Environmental Snapshots From ACE-Asia, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi:2003jd004339.

- Kahn, R., P. Banerjee, and D. McDonald, 2001a. "The Sensitivity of Multiangle Imaging to Natural Mixtures of Aerosols Over Ocean", *J. Geophys. Res.106*, 18219-18238.

- Kahn, R., P. Banerjee, D. McDonald, and J. Martonchik, "Aerosol Properties Derived from Aircraft Multi-angle Imaging Over Monterey Bay", *J. Geophys. Res.106*, 11977-11995, 2001b.

- Kahn, R., P. Banerjee, D. McDonald, and D. Diner, 1998, "Sensitivity of Multiangle imaging to Aerosol Optical Depth, and to Pure-Particle Size Distribution and Composition Over Ocean", *J. Geophys. Res. 103*, 32,195-32,213.

- Kahn, R., Y. Chen, D.L. Nelson, F-Y. Leung, Q. Li, D.J. Diner, and J.A. Logan, 2008. Wildfire smoke injection heights - Two perspectives from space, *Geophys. Res. Lett. 35*, doi:10.1029/2007GL032165.

- Kahn, R. A., M. J. Garay, D. L. Nelson, K. K. Yau, M. A. Bull, B. J. Gaitley, J. V. Martonchik, and R. C. Levy (2007b). Satellite-derived aerosol optical depth over dark water from MISR and MODIS: Comparisons with AERONET and implications for climatological studies. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D18205, doi:10.1029/2006JD008175.

- Kahn, R., A. Petzold, M. Wendisch, E. Bierwirth, T. Dinter, M. Esselborn, M. Fiebig, B. Heese, P. Knippertz, D. Muller, A. Schladitz, and W. von Hoyningen-Huene, 2009. Desert Dust Aerosol Air Mass Mapping in the western Sahara, Using particle properties derived from space-based multi-angle imaging, Tellus, *in press.*

- Kahn, R., R. West, D. McDonald, B. Rheingans, and M.I. Mishchenko, 1997. Sensitivity of Multi-angle remote sensing observations to aerosol sphericity, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 16861-16870.

- Kahn, R. A., W.-H. Li, C. Moroney, D. J. Diner, J. V. Martonchik, and E. Fishbein (2007a). Aerosol source plume physical characteristics from space-based multiangle imaging *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D11205, doi:10.1029/2006JD007647.

- Kalashnikova, O.V., R. Kahn, I.N. Sokolik, and W-H. Li, 2005, Ability of multi-angle remote sensing observations to identify and distinguish mineral dust types: Part 1. Optical models and retrievals of optically thick plumes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004550.

- Kalashnikova O. V., and R. Kahn (2006), Ability of multi-angle remote sensing observations to identify and distinguish mineral dust types: Part 2. Sensitivity data analysis, *J. Geophys. Res.*,111, D11207, doi:10.1029/2005JD006756.

- Liu, Y., P. Koutrakis, and R. Kahn, 2007. Estimating PM2.5 component concentrations and size distributions using satellite-retrieved fractional aerosol optical depth: Part 1 - Development of Methods, *J. Air & Waste Management Assoc.* 57, 1351-1359.

- Liu, Y.J.A. Sarnat, B.A. Coull, P. Koutrakis, and D.J. Jacob, 2004, Validation of MISR aerosol optical thickness measurements using Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations over the continuous United States, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, doi:10.1029/2003JD003981.

- Martonchik, J.V., R.A. Kahn, and D.J. Diner, 2008. Retrieval of Aerosol Properties over Land Using MISR Observations. In: Kokhanovsky, A., ed., Satellite Aerosol Remote Sensing Over Land. Springer, Berlin, *in press.*

- Martonchik, J.V., D.J. Diner, R.A. Kahn, B.J. Gaitley, and B.N. Holben, 2004, Comparison of MISR and AERONET aerosol optical depths over desert sites, *Geophys. Res. Let.,31*, doi:10.1029/2004GL019807.

- Martonchik, J.V., D.J. Diner, K. Crean, and M. Bull, Regional aerosol retrieval results from MISR, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remt. Sensing 40*, 1520-1531, 2002.

- Martonchik, J.V., D.J. Diner, R. Kahn, M.M. Verstraete, B. Pinty, H.R. Gordon, and T.P. Ackerman, 1998. Techniques for the Retrieval of aerosol properties over land ocean using multiangle data, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remt. Sensing 36*, 1212-1227.

- Moroney, C., Davies, R. and J.-P. Muller. (2002) Operational Retrieval of Cloud-top Heights Using MISR Data. *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.* **40**:1532-1540.

- Redemann, J., B. Schmid, J.A. Eilers, R. Kahn, R.C. Levy, P.B. Russell, J.M. Livingston, P.V. Hobbs, W.L. Smith, Jr., and B.N. Holben, 2005, Suborbital measurements of spectral aerosol optical depth and its variability at sub-satellite-grid scales in support of CLAMS, 2001, *J. Atmosph. Sci.*, 62(4):993-1007, doi: 10.1175/JAS3387.1.

- Reidmiller, D.R., P.V. Hobbs, and R. Kahn, 2006, Aerosol optical properties and particle size distributions on the east coast of the United States, derived from airborne in situ and remote sensing measurements, *J. Atmosph.*, Sci. 63, 785-814.

- Russell, P.B., J.M. Livingston, J. Redemann, B. Schmid, S. Ramirez, J. Eilers, R. Kahn, A. Chu, P.K. Quinn, M.J. Rood, and W. Wang. Multi-grid-cell validation of satellite aerosol property retrievals in INTEX.ITCT.ICARTT 2004. (2007). *J. Geophys. Res. 112*, D12S09, doi:10.1029/2006JD007606.

- Schmid B., J. Redemann, P. B. Russell, P. V. Hobbs, D. L. Hlavka, M. J. McGill, B. N. Holben, E. J. Welton, J. R. Campbell, O. Torres, R. A. Kahn, D. J. Diner, M. C. Helmlinger, D. A. Chu, C. Robles Gonzalez, and G. de Leeuw, 2003. Coordinated airborne, spaceborne, and ground-based measurements of massive, thick aerosol layers during the dry season in southern Africa, *J. Geophys. Res*, 108(D13), 8496, doi:10.1029/2002JD002297.

- Yang, Y., L. Di Girolamo, and D. Mazzoni, 2007: Selection of the automated thresholding algorithm for the Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer Camera-by-camera Cloud Mask over land, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 107, 159-171.

- Zhao, G. and Di Girolamo, L. (2004). A Cloud Fraction versus View Angle Technique for Automatic In-Scene Evaluation of the MISR Cloud Mask. *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, **43**, No. 6, pp. 860 869.

- Zhao, G., and L. Di Girolamo, 2006: Cloud fraction errors for trade wind cumuli from EOS-Terra instruments, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L20802, doi:10.1029/2006GL027088.

Also see:

- Statement dated December 01, 2007 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from December 01, 2007 to October 31, 2009.
- Statement dated June 1, 2007 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from June 1, 2007 to November 30, 2007.
- Statement dated December 1, 2005 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from December 1, 2005 to May 31, 2007.
- Statement dated May 13, 2005 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from May 13, 2005 to November 30, 2005.
- Statement dated November 28, 2004 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from November 28, 2004 to May 12, 2005.
- Statement dated March 10, 2004 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from March 10, 2004 to November 27, 2004.
- Statement dated February 13, 2004 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from December 1, 2003 to March 9, 2004.
- Statement dated August 13, 2003 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from August 13, 2003 to November 30, 2003.
- Statement dated January 25, 2003 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from January 25, 2003 to August 12, 2003.
- Statement dated November 27, 2002 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from November 27, 2002 to January 25, 2003.
- Statement dated September 25, 2002 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from September 25, 2002 to November 26, 2002.
- Statement dated July 29, 2002 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from July 29, 2002 to September 24, 2002.
- Statement dated April 15, 2002 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from April 15, 2002 to July 28, 2002.
- Statement dated September 27, 2001 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from September 27, 2001 to April 14, 2002.
- Statement dated March 30, 2001 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from March 30, 2001 to September 26, 2001.
- Statement dated February 16, 2001 for MISR Level 2 Aerosol/Surface Products from February 16 to March 29, 2001.